

On the evaluation of the norm of an integral operator associated with the stability of one-electron atoms

V.I.Burenkov and W.D.Evans

February 1, 2008

Abstract

The norm of an integral operator occurring in the partial wave decomposition of an operator B introduced by Brown and Ravenhall in a model for relativistic one-electron atoms is determined. The result implies that B is non-negative and has no eigenvalue at 0 when the nuclear charge does not exceed a specified critical value.

1 Introduction

The operator referred to in the title is defined on $L^2(0, \infty)$ by

$$(T\phi)(x) := \int_0^\infty t(x, y)\phi(y)dy, \quad 0 < x < \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} t(x, y) = & \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{x^2+1}+1}{x^2+1}} g_0(x/y) \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{y^2+1}+1}{y^2+1}} \right. \\ & \left. + \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{x^2+1}-1}{x^2+1}} g_1(x/y) \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{y^2+1}-1}{y^2+1}} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

with

$$g_0(u) = \log \left| \frac{u+1}{u-1} \right|, \quad g_1(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left(u + \frac{1}{u} \right) \log \left| \frac{u+1}{u-1} \right| - 1, \quad u > 0.$$

To describe its role in relativistic stability, we require some background information. It is well-known that the Dirac operator describing relativistic one-particle

systems is unbounded below, and that problems occur when it is extended as a model for multi-particle systems. The root of the problem is that the Dirac operator describes two different particles, namely electrons and positrons. In the paper [2] Brown and Ravenhall overcame this difficulty by projecting onto the electron subspaces only. Specifically, for a relativistic electron in the field of its nucleus, their operator is

$$B := \Lambda_+(D_0 - \frac{e^2 Z}{|\cdot|})\Lambda_+. \quad (1.3)$$

The notation in (1.3) is as follows:

- D_0 is the free Dirac operator

$$D_0 = c\alpha \cdot \frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla + mc^2\beta \equiv \sum_{j=1}^3 c\frac{\hbar}{i}\alpha_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + mc^2\beta$$

where $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and β are the Dirac matrices given by

$$\alpha_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & \sigma_j \\ \sigma_j & 0_2 \end{pmatrix}, \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & -1_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $0_2, 1_2$ the zero and unit 2×2 matrices respectively, and σ_j the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix};$$

- Λ_+ denotes the projection of $L^2(R^3) \otimes C^4$ onto the positive spectral subspace of D_0 , that is $\chi_{(0,\infty)}D_0$. If we set

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{p}) \equiv [\mathcal{F}(f)](\mathbf{p}) := \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^{3/2} \int_{R^3} e^{-i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}/\hbar} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

for the Fourier transform of f , then it follows that

$$(\hat{\Lambda}_+ f)(\mathbf{p}) = \Lambda_+(\mathbf{p}) \hat{f}(\mathbf{p})$$

where

$$\Lambda_+(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{c\alpha \cdot \mathbf{p} + mc^2\beta}{2e(p)}, e(p) = \sqrt{c^2p^2 + m^2c^4}, \quad (1.4)$$

with $p = |\mathbf{p}|$;

- $2\pi\hbar$ is Planck's constant, c the velocity of light, m the electron mass, $-e$ the electron charge and Z the nuclear charge.

The underlying Hilbert space in which B acts is

$$\mathcal{H} = \Lambda_+(L^2(R^3) \otimes C^4) \quad (1.5)$$

and when it is bounded below, B generates a self-adjoint operator (also denoted by B) which is the Friedrichs extension of the restriction of B to $\Lambda_+(C_0^\infty(R^3) \otimes C^4)$.

The operator B was later used by Bethe-Salpeter (see [1]) and is referred to with their name in [3]. In [3] it is proved that B is bounded below if and only if the nuclear charge Z does not exceed the critical value

$$Z_c = 2/[(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi})\alpha], \quad \alpha = e^2/\hbar c, \quad (1.6)$$

where α is Sommerfeld's fine structure constant; this range of Z covers all natural elements. When $Z = Z_c$, it is proved in [3] that

$$B \geq -(\frac{\pi^2 - 4}{\pi^2 + 4})mc^2.$$

However, in [4] Hardekopf and Sucher had investigated B numerically and predicted that B is in fact non-negative, and that, as for the Dirac operator, the ground state energy vanishes for $Z = Z_c$, i.e. 0 is an eigenvalue of B . The first part of this prediction of Hardekopf and Sucher has recently been confirmed, but the second part contradicted, by Tix in [5]. Following the basic strategy in [3], but with a better choice of trial functions, Tix obtains a lower bound for B which is shown to be positive for $Z \leq Z_c$, specifically

$$B \geq mc^2(1 - \alpha Z - 0.002 \frac{Z}{Z_c}) > 0; \quad (1.7)$$

the numerical factor is roughly 0.09 for $Z = Z_c$.

From the partial wave analysis of B , it is shown in [3] that for all $\psi \in \Lambda_+(C_0^\infty(R^3) \otimes C^4)$ and with $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the standard inner product on $L^2(R^3) \otimes C^4$,

$$\begin{aligned} (B\psi, \psi) &= \sum_{(l,m,s) \in I} \left\{ \int_0^\infty e(p) |a_{l,m,s}(p)|^2 dp \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\alpha c Z}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \bar{a}_{l,m,s}(p') k_{l,s}(p', p) a_{l,m,s}(p) dp dp' \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

where I is the index set

$$\begin{aligned} I = \{ (l, m, s) : l \in N_0, \quad m &= -l - 1/2, \dots, l + 1/2, s = 1/2, -1/2, \\ &|m| \neq l + 1/2 \text{ when } s = -1/2 \}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

the kernels $k_{l,s}(p', p)$ are given by

$$k_{l,s}(p', p) = \frac{[e(p') + e(0)]Q_l(\frac{1}{2}[\frac{p}{p'} + \frac{p'}{p}])[e(p) + e(0)] + c^2 p' Q_{l+2s}(\frac{1}{2}[\frac{p}{p'} + \frac{p'}{p}])p}{(2e(p)[e(p) + e(0)])^{1/2}(2e(p')[e(p') + e(0)])^{1/2}} \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$\sum_{(l,m,s) \in I} \int_0^\infty |a_{l,m,s}(p)|^2 dp = \|\psi\|^2 := \sum_{j=1}^4 \int_{R^3} |\psi_j|^2 d\mathbf{x}. \quad (1.11)$$

In (1.10) the Q_l are the Legendre functions of the second kind. The strategy in [3] was based on this decomposition of B and the observation that

$$0 \leq k_{l,s}(p', p) \leq k_{0,1/2}(p', p), \quad l \in N_0, s = 1/2, -1/2. \quad (1.12)$$

It would follow that $B \geq 0$ for $Z \leq Z_c$ if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty a(p') k_{0,1/2}(p', p) a(p) dp' dp \leq \frac{\pi}{\alpha c Z_c} \int_0^\infty e(p) a(p)^2 dp \quad (1.13)$$

for all non-negative measurable functions a . On setting

$$g_l(u) = Q_l(\frac{1}{2}[u + \frac{1}{u}]), \quad l \in N_0 \quad (1.14)$$

$$p = mcx, p' = mcy, \phi(x) = \sqrt{e(mcx)} a(mcx),$$

(1.13) becomes

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty t(x, y) \phi(x) \phi(y) dx dy \leq (\frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1) \int_0^\infty \phi(x)^2 dx, \quad (1.15)$$

where $t(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined in (1.2). What we prove in this paper is that the constant $\frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1$ in the inequality (1.15) is sharp, and there are no extremal functions. Furthermore, we show that these results imply that $B \geq (1 - \frac{Z}{Z_c})mc^2$ for $Z \leq Z_c$ and 0 is not an eigenvalue of B when $Z = Z_c$. Much of the analysis continues to be valid for analogous inequalities defined by general kernel functions $t_{l,s}$ derived from the $k_{l,s}$.

2 The main results

The operator T defined on $L^2(0, \infty)$ by (1.1) is readily seen to be a bounded symmetric operator and so

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{|(T\phi, \phi)|}{\|\phi\|^2} : \phi \in L^2(0, \infty), \phi \neq 0 \right\} = \|T\|_{L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Our main result is

Theorem 2.1 Let T be defined by (1.1). Then

1. $\|T\|_{L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)} = \frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1$;
2. the operator T has no extremal functions.

Remark 2.2 We recall that ϕ is an extremal function of a bounded symmetric operator T if $\phi \in L^2(0, \infty)$, $\phi \neq 0$ a.e. and $\|T\|_{L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)} = |(T\phi, \phi)|/\|\phi\|^2$. Hence Theorem 2.1 means the following: for all non-negative measurable functions $\phi \in L^2(0, \infty)$ with $\phi \neq 0$ a.e.

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty t(x, y) \phi(x) \phi(y) dx dy < \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1\right) \int_0^\infty \phi^2(x) dx \quad (2. 2)$$

and the constant $\frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1$ is sharp. In turn, this implies that the inequality (1.13) is valid, the constant $\pi/\alpha c Z_c$ is sharp and there is no function $a \in L^2(0, \infty; e(p) dp)$ which is not null and for which there is equality in (1.13). A consequence of Theorem 2.1 is

Theorem 2.3 Let B be the self-adjoint operator generated in $\mathcal{H}$ by (1.3) and let Z_c be given by (1.6). Then

1. if $Z \leq Z_c$, $B \geq (1 - \frac{Z}{Z_c}) mc^2$;
2. if $Z = Z_c$, 0 is not an eigenvalue of B ;
3. if $Z > Z_c$, B is unbounded below.

Proof. Part 3 is proved in [3]. From (1.8), (1.10), (1.11) and (1.12) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (B\psi, \psi) &\geq \sum_{(l, m, s) \in I} \left\{ \int_0^\infty e(p) |a_{l, m, s}(p)|^2 dp \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\alpha c Z}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |a_{l, m, s}(p')| k_{0, 1/2}(p', p) |a_{l, m, s}(p)| dp dp' \right\} \\ &\geq \sum_{(l, m, s) \in I} \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{Z}{Z_c}\right) e(p) |a_{l, m, s}(p)|^2 dp \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{Z}{Z_c}\right) mc^2 \|\psi\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which establishes part 1. To prove part 2, suppose 0 is an eigenvalue of B with corresponding eigenfunction ψ . By (1.12) and (1.13), all the summands on the right-hand side of (1.8) are non-negative and consequently are zero as now $B\psi = 0$. Also (1.11) implies that at least one of the functions $a_{l, m, s}, a_{l_0, m_0, s_0}$ say, is not null. But this would imply that there is equality in (1.13) with the function $a = |a_{l_0, m_0, s_0}|$, contrary to Remark 2.2. Hence the proof is complete.

Remark 2.4 When the mass $m = 0$, a proof of Theorem 2.3 is given in [3]. On setting $p = x, p' = y, \phi(x) = \sqrt{cxa}(x)$ in (1.13) when $m = 0$ we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty t_0(x, y) \phi(x) \phi(y) dx dy \leq \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1 \right) \int_0^\infty \phi(x)^2 dx \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$t_0(x, y) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{xy}} \left\{ g_0 \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) + g_1 \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

We shall prove in Section 3 that the integral operator T_0 with kernel t_0 satisfies Theorem 2.1, and thus yields the analogue of Theorem 2.3 in the case $m = 0$.

Remark 2.5 Tix's lower bound (1.7) for B is an improvement on that in Theorem 2.3(1). If 0 is not in the essential spectrum $\sigma_{ess}(B)$ of B when $Z = Z_c$, as is the case when $Z < Z_c$ for $\sigma_{ess}(B) = [mc^2, \infty)$ is established in [3, Theorem 2], then Parts 1 and 2 of Theorem 2.3 imply that B is strictly positive. However, no specific positive lower bound can be deduced from Theorem 2.1 alone.

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

The starting point is the following simple result (cf[3, Section 2.3]). We shall denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ the standard inner-product and norm respectively in $L^2(0, \infty)$. It is sufficient to consider only real-valued functions in $L^2(0, \infty)$ throughout this section.

Lemma 3.1 Let f, g, h be real-valued, measurable functions on $(0, \infty)$. Moreover, let g and h be positive and

$$g(1/u) = g(u), \quad 0 < u < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Then

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x) g \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) f(y) dx dy \leq \int_0^\infty f(x)^2 \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{h(y)}{h(x)} g \left(\frac{y}{x} \right) dy \right\} dx. \quad (3.2)$$

Equality holds if and only if $f(x) = Ah(x)$ a.e. on $(0, \infty)$, where A is a constant.

Proof. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x) g(x/y) f(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x) \sqrt{g(x/y) \frac{h(y)}{h(x)}} f(y) \sqrt{g(y/x) \frac{h(x)}{h(y)}} dx dy \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x)^2 g(x/y) \frac{h(y)}{h(x)} dx dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(y)^2 g(y/x) \frac{h(x)}{h(y)} dx dy \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \int_0^\infty f(x)^2 \left(\int_0^\infty g(y/x) \frac{h(y)}{h(x)} dy \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds if and only if, for some constants μ and λ

$$\mu f(x) \sqrt{g(x/y) \frac{h(y)}{h(x)}} = \lambda f(y) \sqrt{g(y/x) \frac{h(x)}{h(y)}}$$

a.e. on $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$. This is equivalent to $f(x) = Ah(x)$ a.e. on $(0, \infty)$, where A is a constant.

Lemma 3.2 *Let G be the symmetric operator defined on $L^2(0, \infty)$ by*

$$Gf(x) := \int_0^\infty \frac{g(x/y)}{\sqrt{xy}} f(y) dy, \quad 0 < x < \infty, \quad (3.3)$$

where g is a positive measurable function satisfying (3.1). Then

$$\|G|L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)\| = \int_0^\infty g(u) \frac{du}{u}. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, there are no extremal functions.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 with $h(u) = 1/u$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(Gf, f)| &= \left| \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{x}} g(x/y) \frac{f(y)}{\sqrt{y}} dx dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{x}} \right)^2 \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{x}{y} g(y/x) dy \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty g(u) \frac{du}{u} \int_0^\infty f(x)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|G|L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)\| \leq \int_0^\infty g(u) \frac{du}{u}. \quad (3.5)$$

Furthermore, equality in (3.5) can hold if and only if $f(x) = A/\sqrt{x}$ a.e. on $(0, \infty)$. Since $A/\sqrt{x} \notin L^2(0, \infty)$ unless $A = 0$, it follows that for all $f \in L^2(0, \infty)$, $f \neq 0$ a.e.,

$$|(Gf, f)| < \left(\int_0^\infty g(u) \frac{du}{u} \right) \|f\|^2. \quad (3.6)$$

In order to establish the inequality converse to (3.5) we take $f_\delta(x) = \frac{\chi_{(1, \delta)}(x)}{\sqrt{x}}$ as a test function, where $\chi_{(1, \delta)}$ denotes the characteristic function of $(1, \delta)$, $1 < \delta < \infty$. By l'Hospital's Rule, we have as $\delta \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\|G|L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)\| \geq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(Gf_\delta, f_\delta)}{\|f_\delta\|^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ (\ln \delta)^{-1} \int_1^\delta \left(\int_1^\delta g(y/x) \frac{dy}{y} \right) \frac{dx}{x} \right\} \\
&= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_1^\delta g(y/\delta) \frac{dy}{y} + \int_1^\delta g(\delta/x) \frac{dx}{x} \right\} \\
&= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/\delta}^\delta g(u) \frac{du}{u} \\
&= \int_0^\infty g(u) \frac{du}{u}.
\end{aligned}$$

The equality (3.4) follows from (3.5). From (3.6) it follows that there is no extremal function.

Lemma 3.3 *Let T_0 be the symmetric operator in $L^2(0, \infty)$ defined by*

$$T_0 f(x) := \int_0^\infty t_0(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

where t_0 is given by (2.4). Then

1. $\|T_0\|_{L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)} = \frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1$;
2. there are no extremal functions.

Proof. The results follow from Lemma 3.2 since

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty g_0(u) \frac{du}{u} &= 2 \int_0^1 g_0(u) \frac{du}{u} \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 \log \left| \frac{u+1}{u-1} \right| \frac{du}{u} \\
&= 4 \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{u^{2k}}{2k+1} \right) du \\
&= 4 \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2} \\
&= \frac{\pi^2}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{3. 7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty g_1(u) \frac{du}{u} &= 2 \int_0^1 g_1(u) \frac{du}{u} \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} \left[u + \frac{1}{u} \right] \log \left| \frac{u+1}{u-1} \right| - 1 \right) \frac{du}{u}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+, \delta \rightarrow 1-} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(u - \frac{1}{u} \right) \log \left| \frac{u+1}{u-1} \right| \right]_{\epsilon}^{\delta} \\
&= 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3. 8}$$

Lemma 3.4 *The operator T defined in (1.1) satisfies*

$$\|T|L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)\| \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1.$$

Proof. As in Lemma 3.2, we take $f_{\delta}(x) = \frac{\chi_{(1, \delta)}(x)}{\sqrt{x}}, 1 < \delta < \infty$, as a test function. By l'Hospital's rule we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T|L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)\| &\geq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(Tf_{\delta}, f_{\delta})}{\|f_{\delta}\|^2} \\
&= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ (\ln \delta)^{-1} \int_1^{\delta} \left(\int_1^{\delta} t(x, y) \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}} \right) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}} \right\} \\
&= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sqrt{\delta} \int_1^{\delta} t(\delta, y) \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sqrt{\delta} \int_1^{\delta} t(x, \delta) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}} \right\} \\
&= 2 \lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/\delta}^1 \delta t(\delta, \delta u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{u}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is readily seen from (1.2) that for $1 < \delta < \infty$,

$$\frac{\delta t(\delta, \delta u)}{\sqrt{u}} \leq \frac{g_0(u) + g_1(u)}{u} \in L(0, 1)$$

and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta t(\delta, \delta u)}{\sqrt{u}} = \frac{g_0(u) + g_1(u)}{2u}.$$

Hence, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem, (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\|T|L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)\| \geq \int_0^1 \frac{g_0(u) + g_1(u)}{u} du = \frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1.$$

Lemma 3.5 *For all functions h_0, h_1 which are positive and measurable on $(0, \infty)$*

$$\|T|L^2(0, \infty) \rightarrow L^2(0, \infty)\| \leq A(h_0, h_1), \tag{3. 9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(h_0, h_1) &= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{0 < x < \infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{h_0(y)}{h_0(x)} g_0(y/x) dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} - 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{h_1(y)}{h_1(x)} g_1(y/x) dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

The operator T has an extremal function ϕ if and only if

$$\phi(x) = A_0 h_0(x) \sqrt{\frac{x^2 + 1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + 1}} = A_1 h_1(x) \sqrt{\frac{x^2 + 1}{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} - 1}} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{h_0(y)}{h_0(x)} g_0(y/x) dy + \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} - 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{h_1(y)}{h_1(x)} g_1(y/x) dy = A_3, \quad (3.11)$$

for some non-zero constants A_1, A_2, A_3 .

Proof. By Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty t(x, y) \phi(x) \phi(y) dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + 1}{x^2 + 1}} \phi(x) g_0(x/y) \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{y^2 + 1} + 1}{y^2 + 1}} \phi(y) dx dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} - 1}{x^2 + 1}} \phi(x) g_1(x/y) \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{y^2 + 1} - 1}{y^2 + 1}} \phi(y) dx dy \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{h_0(y)}{h_0(x)} g_0(y/x) dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} - 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{h_1(y)}{h_1(x)} g_1(y/x) dy \right) \phi(x)^2 dx \\ &\leq A(h_0, h_1) \|\phi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the first inequality becomes an equality if and only if

$$\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + 1}{x^2 + 1}} \phi(x) = A_0 h_0(x), \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} - 1}{x^2 + 1}} \phi(x) = A_1 h_1(x)$$

a.e. on $(0, \infty)$, for some constants A_0, A_1 . The second inequality becomes an equality if and only if $\phi(x) = 0$ a.e. on the set of all $x \in (0, \infty)$ for which

$$\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{h_0(y)}{h_0(x)} g_0(y/x) dy + \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} - 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{h_1(y)}{h_1(x)} g_1(y/x) dy < A(h_0, h_1).$$

Since an extremal function ϕ is not null, this inequality can only be satisfied on a set of zero measure. Consequently, (3.11) holds a.e. for some constant A_3 .

Remark 3.6 *We note that for all functions h_0, h_1 which are positive and measurable on $(0, \infty)$*

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} & \left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{h_0(y)}{h_0(x)} g_0(y/x) dy \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} - 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{h_1(y)}{h_1(x)} g_1(y/x) dy \right) \\ & \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, let

$$\hat{h}_j(\xi) := \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_j(\xi x)}{h_j(x)}, \quad 0 < \xi < \infty, j = 0, 1,$$

where the $\liminf$ can be finite or infinite. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_j(1/\xi) &= \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_j(x/\xi)}{h_j(x)} \\ &= \liminf_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_j(y)}{h_j(\xi y)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\hat{h}_j(\xi)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Fatou's Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} & \left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{h_0(y)}{h_0(x)} g_0(y/x) dy \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} - 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty \frac{h_1(y)}{h_1(x)} g_1(y/x) dy \right) \\ &= \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty x \frac{h_0(ux)}{h_0(x)} g_0(u) du \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\sqrt{x^2 + 1} - 1}{x^2 + 1} \int_0^\infty x \frac{h_1(ux)}{h_1(x)} g_1(u) du \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^\infty \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{h_0(ux)}{h_0(x)} \right] g_0(u) du + \int_0^\infty \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{h_1(ux)}{h_1(x)} \right] g_1(u) du \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^\infty \hat{h}_0(u) g_0(u) du + \int_0^\infty \hat{h}_1(u) g_1(u) du \right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, on substituting $u = v - \sqrt{v^2 - 1}$ when $0 < u < 1$ and $u = v + \sqrt{v^2 - 1}$ when $u > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \hat{h}_j(u) g_j(u) du &= \int_0^\infty \hat{h}_j(u) Q_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \left[u + \frac{1}{u} \right] \right) du \\
&= \int_1^\infty \{ \hat{h}_j(v - \sqrt{v^2 - 1})(v - \sqrt{v^2 - 1}) \\
&\quad + \hat{h}_j(v + \sqrt{v^2 - 1})(v + \sqrt{v^2 - 1}) \} Q_j(v) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{v^2 - 1}} \\
&= \int_1^\infty \left\{ \frac{1}{\hat{h}_j(v + \sqrt{v^2 - 1})(v + \sqrt{v^2 - 1})} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \hat{h}_j(v + \sqrt{v^2 - 1})(v + \sqrt{v^2 - 1}) \right\} Q_j(v) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{v^2 - 1}} \\
&\geq 2 \int_1^\infty Q_j(v) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{v^2 - 1}} \\
&= \int_0^\infty Q_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \left[u + \frac{1}{u} \right] \right) \frac{du}{u} \\
&= \int_0^\infty g_j(u) \frac{du}{u}.
\end{aligned}$$

This verifies the assertion. We also note that equality holds if and only if $\hat{h}_j(u) = 1/u$ a.e. on $(0, \infty)$. Thus to prove that $A(h_0, h_1) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1$, and hence complete the proof of Theorem 2.1, we must choose h_0 and h_1 in such a way that $\hat{h}_0(u) = \hat{h}_1(u) = 1/u$ a.e. on $(0, \infty)$.

Lemma 3.7 For all $\phi \in L^2(0, \infty)$, $\phi(x) \neq 0$ a.e., we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty t(x, y) \phi(x) \phi(y) dx dy < C \int_0^\infty \phi(x)^2 dx, \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$C = \sup_{0 < x < \infty} F(x) \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$F(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} (\sqrt{x^2 + 1} + 1) \frac{\arctan x}{x} + \frac{(\sqrt{x^2 + 1} - 1)x}{x^2 + 1}. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 3.5 with the choice (cf[5])

$$h_0(x) = \frac{x}{x^2 + 1}, \quad h_1(x) = \frac{1}{x}. \quad (3.15)$$

From (3.8),

$$\int_0^\infty h_1(y) g_1(y/x) dy = 2.$$

Also, on using Cauchy's Residue Theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty h_0(y)g_0(y/x)dy &= \int_0^\infty \frac{y}{y^2+1} \log \left| \frac{x+y}{x-y} \right| dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{y}{y^2+1} \log \left| \frac{x+y}{x-y} \right| dy \\
&= \frac{x^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{u}{(xu)^2+1} \log \left| \frac{1+u}{1-u} \right| du \\
&= \frac{x^2}{2} \Re \left[\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{u}{(xu)^2+1} \log \left(\frac{1+u}{1-u} \right) du \right] \\
&= \pi \Re \left[\frac{i}{2} \log \left(\frac{x+i}{x-i} \right) \right] \\
&= \pi \arctan x.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.13) is confirmed. Since the equality (3.10) is not satisfied by the choice of h_0, h_1 in (3.15) for any constants A_0, A_1 , it follows from Lemma 3.5 that there is strict inequality in (3.12).

The final link in the chain of arguments is

Lemma 3.8 *The constant C in (3.13) is given by*

$$C = \frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1. \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = \frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1$, we have that $C \geq \frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1$. To prove the reverse inequality we start by substituting $x = \tan 2v$ in $F(x)$ to obtain

$$F(\tan 2v) = \frac{\pi v + 4 \sin^4 v}{\tan v}, \quad 0 \leq v \leq \pi/4.$$

We therefore need to prove that

$$f(v) := \pi v + 4 \sin^4 v - \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1 \right) \tan v \leq 0, \quad 0 \leq v \leq \pi/4.$$

The following identities for the derivatives are easily verified :

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{(1)}(v) &= \pi + 16 \sin^3 v \cos v - \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1 \right) \sec^2 v, \\
f^{(2)}(v) &= 2 \sin v \sec^3 v g(v),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(v) = 3 \sin 2v + 3 \sin 4v + \sin 6v - \left(\frac{\pi^2}{4} + 1 \right)$$

and

$$g^{(1)}(v) = 12 \cos 4v (1 + \cos 2v).$$

Since $g(0) < 0, g(\pi/8) > 0, g(\pi/4) < 0, g^{(1)}(v) > 0$ on $[0, \pi/8)$ and $g^{(1)}(v) < 0$ on $(\pi/8, \pi/4]$ there exist v_1, v_2 such that $0 < v_1 < v_2 < \pi/4, g(v_1) = g(v_2) = 0, g(v) < 0$ on $[0, v_1)$ and $(v_2, \pi/4]$, and $g(v) > 0$ on (v_1, v_2) . Thus $f(0) = 0, f^{(1)}(0) < 0, f^{(2)}(0) = 0, f(\pi/4) = 0, f^{(1)}(\pi/4) > 0$, and $f^{(2)}(\pi/4) < 0$. Moreover, $f^{(2)}$ vanishes at v_1 and v_2 , is negative on $(0, v_1)$ and (v_2, π) , and positive on (v_1, v_2) . In particular, it follows that $f^{(1)}$ is negative on $[0, v_1]$ and positive on $[v_2, \pi/4]$.

Suppose that $f(\xi) = 0$ for some $\xi \in (0, \pi/4)$. From $f(0) = f(\xi) = f(\pi/4) = 0$, and the last sentence of the previous paragraph, it follows that there exist η_1, η_2 such that $f^{(1)}(\eta_1) = f^{(1)}(\eta_2) = 0$ and $v_1 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < v_2$. Consequently, there exists $v_3 \in (v_1, v_2)$ such that $f^{(2)}(v_3) = 0$ which is contrary to what was established in the previous paragraph. Thus $f(v) \neq 0$ on $(0, \pi/4)$. Since $f(0) = f(\pi/4) = 0$ and $f^{(1)}(0) < 0, f^{(1)}(\pi/4) > 0$, it follows that $f(v) \leq 0$ on $[0, \pi/4]$. The proof is therefore complete.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Part 1 follows from Lemmas 3.4, 3.7 and 3.8, and part 2 from (3.12).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS We are grateful to Christian Tix for sending us a preprint of [5]. We also record our thanks to the European Union for support under the TMR grant FMRX-CT 96-0001.

REFERENCES

1. Hans A. Bethe and Edwin E. Salpeter. Quantum mechanics of one- and two-electron atoms. In S. Flugge, editor, *Handbuch der Physik, XXXV*, pages 88-436. Springer, Berlin, 1st edition 1957.
2. G. E. Brown and D. G. Ravenhall. On the interaction of two electrons. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A*, 208 (A 1095): 552-559, September 1951.
3. William Desmond Evans, Peter Perry and Heinz Siedentop. The spectrum of relativistic one-electron atoms according to Bethe and Salpeter. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 178(3), 733-746 (1996).
4. G. Hardekopf and J. Sucher. Critical coupling constants for relativistic wave equations and vacuum breakdown in quantum electrodynamics. *Phys. Rev. A* 31(4), 2020-2029 (1985).
5. Christian Tix. Strict positivity of a relativistic Hamiltonian due to Brown and Ravenhall. Preprint.

School of Mathematics,
University of Wales, Cardiff,

Senghennydd Road,
Cardiff CF2 4YH.